```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA
2.
JOHN GILBERT,
        Plaintiff,
4
  vs.
5
APC NATCHIQ, INC.
        Defendants.
                                    Case No. 3:03-CV-00174-RRB
8
                 DEPOSITION OF DOUGLAS L. SMITH
9
                          June 1, 2006
10
APPEARANCES:
11
                                    MR. KENNETH L. COVELL
        FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
12
                                    Attorney at Law
                                    712 Eighth Avenue
13
                                    Fairbanks, Alaska 99701
                                    (907) 452-4377
14
        FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
                                    MS. PATRICIA L. ZOBEL
15
                                    DeLisio Moran Geraghty &
                                    Zobel
16
                                    Attorneys at Law
                                    943 West Sixth Avenue
17
                                    Anchorage, Alaska 99501
                                    (907) 279-9574
18
        ALSO PRESENT:
                                    MR. JOHN GILBERT
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Pursuant to Notice, the Deposition of **DOUGLAS L. SMITH** was taken on behalf of the Plaintiff before Cheri Tabor,

Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska, and electronic reporter for Metro Court Reporting at the offices of DeLisio Moran Geraghty & Zobel, 943 West Sixth Avenue, Anchorage,

Alaska, on the 1st day of June, 2006, commencing at the hour of 11:30 o'clock a.m.

* * * *

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Direct Examination by Mr. Covell 4

METRO COURT REPORTING

1	EVUTDT	Tr.C.		מת	C E
2	<u>EXHIBI</u>		1	<u>PA</u>	
3	S-1 - S-2 -	Job description,	Nelson safety	to Carrsupervisor	56
4		* *	* *		
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 (On record)
- COURT REPORTER: We're on record. My name is Tabor, and I'm a court reporter for Metro Court Reporting in Anchorage, Alaska. Today's date is June 1, 2006, and the time is approximately 11:30 a.m. We're at the offices of DeLisio Moran Geraghty & Zobel, PC, 943 West Sixth Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 for the deposition of Doug Smith. This case is in the United States District Court for the Postrict of Alaska, in the matter of Gilbert, versus APC, Case Number 3:03-CV-00174 (RBR).
- Sir, Mr. Smith, would you please raise your right hand §9 I could swear you in?
- 14 (Oath administered)
- MR. SMITH: I do.

16 <u>DOUGLAS L. SMITH</u>

having first been duly sworn under oath, testified as follows:

- COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Would you please state your full name and spell your last for the record.
- Douglas Lee Smith, S-M-I-T-H.
- 21 COURT REPORTER: May I have a mailing address.
- 3900 C Street, Suite 701, Anchorage, Alaska 99503.
- 23 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. I also need a
- daytime or a message telephone number.
- **№**5 907-339-6331.

COURT REPORTER: Okay. Counsel, would you

METRO COURT REPORTING

please identify yourselves and who you represent?

- MR. COVELL: Kenneth Covell for John Gilbert.
- MS. ZOBEL: Patricia Zobel for APC Natchiq.
- 4 COURT REPORTER: Sir, would you like to

identify yourself?

- 6 MR. GILBERT: I'm John Gilbert.
- 7 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. All right. You

may proceed.

- 9 MR. COVELL: All right.
- 10 <u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u>

BY MR. COVELL:

- Q2 Good morning, Mr. Smith.
- A3 Good morning.
- Q4 Have you ever been deposed before?
- As A few times.
- Q6 Okay. And what was that in regard to?
- A7 Just prior case log with injury claims, general
- 18 liability claims at Veco Corporation.
- Q9 Okay. All right. Just briefly then, if you don't
- 20 understand a question, say so. If you need to take a
- 21 break, say so. It's informal in a way. It's all
- being tape recorded. You're under oath. You have
- your counsel here, you can talk to her if you want to.
- 24 Okay?
- As I understand.
- Q All right. What's your current position?

METRO COURT REPORTING

- A I'm the HSET director for ASRC Entities Services,
- that's the parent company of operations and
- maintenance division which was previously known as
- 4 APC.
- Q Okay.
- So there's been some name changes in the corporation,
- and I work at the corporate level, which is the parent
- g of that entity.
- Q Okay. And back in 2001 through 2003 when Mr. Gilbert
- worked for APC or Natchiq, you were at Kuparuk, is
- 11 that.....
- A₂ That's correct.
- Q3where you were.....
- A4 I was an APC employee at Kuparuk in the position of
- 15 HSE manager for that department.
- Q6 Okay. Is my general understanding correct that you
- move up a notch.....
- Ag Yes.
- Q9or a position in the organizational chart?
- And That's how they would have me believe it, so, yes.
- Q₁ Okay. All right. I.....
- A2 Yeah, that's correct.
- Q3 All right. At a time Mr. Gilbert worked at Kuparuk,
- is it correct that the general organizational scheme
- in the way of hierarchy in health and safety would be there are safety specialists, safety supervisor, and

- then you, and you title at that time, tell me again,
- was safety.....
- A I was hired as a safety manager which was a new
- 4 position for that organization.
- Q Okay. I see.
- That -- that position did not exist prior to my
- 7 arrival.
- Okay. Prior to your arrival, was there a corporate
- 9 safety man in Anchorage?
- An There was a safety supervisor on site.
- Q_1 Okay.
- And that was Ron Kirk.
- Q3 Okay. And then who would Ron -- who was Ron's direct
- report I think is how you guys like to put it?
- As Yeah, at that time Ron was a direct report to Gary
- 16 Buchanan as the safety supervisor in the department.
- 17 And.....
- Q8 And was Gary.....
- Agthe specialists.....
- Q₀in Anchorage or was Gary.....
- Al Gary -- Gary was Anchorage-based.
- (Telephone ringing)
- **Q3** Okay.
- MS. ZOBEL: Do you need to take a.....
- As No, I'm just turning it off. Sorry.

MS. ZOBEL: Okay.

METRO COURT REPORTING

	Gary was based on the Slope. He was the project
2	manager or contract business manager for the Kuparuk
3	contract. He was the operations manager.
Q	Okay. And is that different than unit supervisor?
B	Business unit manager, those guys have had a multitude
6	of titles. We refer to them as the contract manager
7	or business unit manager, and they were responsible
8	for the entire operational contract for APC at
9	Kuparuk.
20	So the safety supervisor would answer to Buchanan in
11	the unit manager or similar position?
全 2	Yes, prior to my arrival, Ron Kirk reported to Gary
13	Buchanan.
Q 4	And then was there a safety guy in Anchorage that was
15	higher up the food chain or not?
⊉ 6	Yes, there was a corporate safety manager. At that
17	time it was Scott Brower.
28	Okay. All right. Let's see, what did you do to
19	prepare for your deposition today, if anything?
⊉ 0	Came yesterday and just, you know, knowledge of the
21	events since I was present, but that's about it.
Q 2	Okay. Did you ever do an evaluation of the safety
23	specialist position to determine whether it was exempt
24	or non-exempt from overtime?
⊉ 5	Yes. The in fact, I had been involved in an
	evaluation at a previous employer with that position.

1	And after I arrived at this company, we discussed the
2	position, exempt versus non-exempt. And one thing
3	that's important is that one of the things we worked
4	always worked against or was a bit of an issue is a
5	lot of positions, can (indiscernible) extra hours in
6	the specialist field around the country are being paid
7	in an exempt mode, so by us defining those as non-
8	exempt was we felt was correct with the
9	determination of the labor law, but was not exactly
10	industry standard. So we were always sort of with the
11	uphill battle to move these two hourly, not within the
12	company, but just as a general industry position. So
13	we evaluated the positions based on the the
14	Department of Labor checklist provided at the time,
15	and determined that these positions would were most
16	likely would be better suited to be under hourly
17	positions. And the guys who were having call-outs in
18	the evening and such, the nature of the embedded
19	employees, were being called out, so there was a move
20	to move them to hourly after I arrived there. But it
21	took some time to change the contract language, put
22	the rates in, and effect the changed for the
23	specialists.
24	Okay. And did that then actually happen on or after
25	April of '03?
A	It happened March 1st of '03 is when we finally got

- the -- what we call employee information records, a
- 2 status change actually into payroll and changed the
- specialist' pay rates to hourly was March 1 of '03.
- And when did the guys in the field -- and we're
- 5 talking safety.....
- A Specialists.
- A Safety specialist.
- Q Oh, okay. All right. Safety specialist. All right.
- And at the same time, we -- I evaluated personally
- with Gary Buchanan the position that Ron Kirk had
- previously held as safety supervisor. And it's
- important to understand the chain....
- MS. ZOBEL: Let's.....
- A5of progression.
- MS. ZOBEL: Let's wait until he asks the question.
- Ag Yeah.
- Q9 (By Mr. Covell) Okay.
- Ao Yeah. Well, you were asking about which position
- we're talking about. The safety supervisor position
- was evaluated separate of the specialist. Only --
- only the specialists were changed March 1st. I just
- 24 want to clarify that.
- Q5 Okay. Was the safety supervisor eventually changed?
- A No, the position was eliminated.

Q	Okay. And what filled the void, if anything?
<u> </u>	There currently exists a position there now that's
3	called a safety coordinator, and does not have a
4	supervisory title. That is an hourly-based position.
g	Okay. And then your former job, does that still
6	exist, safety manager, if that's
办	It does.
8	the right okay. Okay. And when did the
9	well, did the supervisor job go away contemporaneous
10	with the coordinator job arriving?
A 1	There was a void of of no position for several
12	months prior to we had a staffing reduction, and
13	the job was eliminated. Several months it was vacant
14	before they recreated the coordinator position. That
14 15	before they recreated the coordinator position. That was after my departure.
15	was after my departure.
15 2 6	was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct
15 2 6 17	<pre>was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct me if I'm putting it wrong, you and Mr. Buchanan at</pre>
15 Q 6 17 18	was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct me if I'm putting it wrong, you and Mr. Buchanan at some point began a review of safety specialists, and
15 \$26 17 18 19	was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct me if I'm putting it wrong, you and Mr. Buchanan at some point began a review of safety specialists, and as of March 1 of '03 recategorized it as non-exempt,
15 \$\Pmathbb{Q}6\$ 17 18 19 20	was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct me if I'm putting it wrong, you and Mr. Buchanan at some point began a review of safety specialists, and as of March 1 of '03 recategorized it as non-exempt, in other words entitled to overtime is
15	was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct me if I'm putting it wrong, you and Mr. Buchanan at some point began a review of safety specialists, and as of March 1 of '03 recategorized it as non-exempt, in other words entitled to overtime is That's correct.
15 №6 17 18 19 20 №1 №2	was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct me if I'm putting it wrong, you and Mr. Buchanan at some point began a review of safety specialists, and as of March 1 of '03 recategorized it as non-exempt, in other words entitled to overtime is That's correct. Okay. And then subsequent to that, or perhaps
15	was after my departure. Okay. All right. So to summarize this, and correct me if I'm putting it wrong, you and Mr. Buchanan at some point began a review of safety specialists, and as of March 1 of '03 recategorized it as non-exempt, in other words entitled to overtime is That's correct. Okay. And then subsequent to that, or perhaps parallel, but somewhat in a latter phase, you

- 1 position that was entitled to overtime?
- A Well, I need to clarify a few points.
- Q Sure.
- A One, the safety supervisor position....
- 5 MS. ZOBEL: That's fine. Go ahead.
- Yeah, there's a few points you made that are not
- 7 correct.
- MS. ZOBEL: Okay.
- Q (By Mr. Covell) Okay.
- Ao So a couple points of clarification. The safety
- supervisor position was evaluated in parallel to the
- specialist, whether it was exempt or non-exempt.
- Q_3 Okay.
- The supervisor position was eliminated as a force
- 15 reduction.
- Q_6 Okay.
- A budget reduction, and was vacant for a number of
- months, and I couldn't tell you exactly how many
- 19 unless I went and looked at records.
- Q₀ That's fine.
- At But longer than -- longer than six months before we --
- that job was refilled as a coordinator role and made
- hourly.
- Q4 Okay. Approximately when did the process of the
- review begin if as to safety specialist it ended March
 - 1, '03? You know, what it two months, six months, a

1	year and a half?
<u> </u>	The review started in '02, and from many of the notes
3	that you've already seen, it was being discussed
4	as early as February of '02.
- ©	Okay. And which notes are you referring to?
8	Some of the notes that were reviewed yesterday where
7	John had related information to the specialist that
8	the hourly rates were being reviewed.
©	Okay. And you saw those notes yesterday?
⊉ 0	I did.
2 1	Okay. Besides the ones yesterday, is there any
12	written commemoration of your actions in conducting
13	the review of the safety specialist and/or safety
14	supervisor job for reclassification?
⊉ 5	I went to work there in December of '01, so they
16	started immediately after my arrival. And the
17	information was being disseminated down from my
18	position to to John's position about the initiative
19	to review and possibly change these to hourly.
20	MS. ZOBEL: I think what he's looking for is
₫ φ you	have any records that
⊉ 2	Not that I've been
23	MS. ZOBEL:have not been produced?
2 4	able to locate, no.
2 5	(By Mr. Covell) Let me be as hopefully direct and

clear as possible. What I envision is perhaps there's

- a file folder that says, review of safety specialist
- job for transfer from exempt to non-exempt, and there
- being, you know, 2 or 10 or 50 papers in there.
- A None that I have been able to locate.
- Q Okay. When you were doing this process, did you
- 6 generate paperwork?
- A No. And it was direct verbal conversation between
- 8 myself and Gary Buchanan.
- Q Okay. So is it fair to say other than what you've
- seen, you wouldn't expect there to be anything else in
- the way of paperwork?
- A2 Not that I can personally locate, no.
- Q3 Okay. All right. That was easy, right? Okay. And
- then prior to your arrival, are you aware of any
- review or clas -- or review for appropriateness of
- 16 exempt or non-exempt classification of the safety
- 17 supervisor position at APC?
- Ag No.
- Qo Okay. You were at Kuparuk when the safety supervisor
- was there and classified as exempt, right?
- No, it was exempt -- -- when -- when I arrived at the
- 22 position, the supervisory position was already being
- paid at a day rate which was filled by Ron Kirk.
- Q4 Well, Mr. Gilbert was a safety supervisor, right?
- A5 He became Ron Kirk's alternate.
- Q Okay. All right. And when he was in that job, he was

1	being classified and paid as an exempt employee,
2	right?
₽	Yes, he went into the job, and the job was already
4	established as an exempt position.
ģ	Okay. And you were his boss then?
∂	That's correct.
Q	Okay. All right. What different duties did the
8	safety supervisor have when Mr. Gilbert was in that
9	position versus a safety specialist?
 0	In my opinion and in my observation, an expectation of
11	the job was that they were the coordinator of the
12	specialist, and in that role provided, you know, a
13	degree of oversight and direction to these embedded
14	employees. And then in my absence I worked a four-
15	day on, three-day off schedule, and in my absence from
16	the Slope, they were the step-up for the department
17	and fill the roll of HSE manager in my absence.
₽8	Okay. So they were the coordinator of the other
19	specialists. These other specialists generally had
20	I don't know if duty stations is the right word, but
21	generally had a routine set of work that they were
22	going to do, or expect to do, is that right?
∄ 3	That's correct.
Q 4	Okay. So a guy would have wash bay, or light duty
25	shop, or a pad or something to that effect?
А	That's correct.

Q	Okay. Would the safety supervisor do safety
2	specialist work routinely?
3 3	Not routinely.
Q	Okay. What safety specialist work would the safety
5	supervisor do?
8	Probably the same as I would do, and that would be a
7	back-up for the field, if there be excess work,
8	someone off shift, in training, geographically not
9	available, because they're too far out to one site, so
10	we might respond to a scenario on their behalf.
Q 1	Okay.
⊉ 2	And that would include myself as well.
₽3	Okay. So when a safety supervisor acted as the
14	coordinator or the specialist, I mean, what physically
15	would he do that was coordinating them? Would he pick
16	up the phone and call people? Would he call meetings?
17	Would arrange their schedules? I mean, what types of
18	things?
⊉ 9	Schedule coordination, personnel coming and going,
20	trying to help work out vacation coverage by
21	scheduling other people to work over, providing
22	answers, you know, questions and answers both up the
23	chain of command with the client, with our people who
24	liaison, conduit of information flow.
Q 5	Okay. And when you said answers questions, would that
	generally be to the client, to Conoco-Phillips or

1	whoever was
<u> </u>	Both sides, internal
9	And what
A	and external.
g	Internal to?
8	APC.
Q	APC upstairs. Was there a lot of questions that came
8	from the specialists to the safety supervisor?
	I think there was daily questions probably from each
10	of them of some type, and they vary in technical
11	nature. Some very simple and some technical.
Q 2	Okay. Well, if you know, would they be along the
13	lines that, you know, I'm busy on this pad, can you
14	come out and do this other test for me on the other
15	pad, or would they be more of the nature of, you know,
16	how do I run this meter? If you know.
全 7	I think that the majority of the questions were more
18	technical in nature about how to execute on-the-job.
19	We had varying levels of specialists with different
20	levels of experience, and the supervisor was an
21	experienced more experienced position that had more
22	authoritative knowledge, technical knowledge, and was
23	oftentimes a reference for the specialist to conduct
24	business.
Q 5	Could you give me an example of what that kind of
	question would be?

₽	Yeah. I'm on the pad and I don't clearly understand
2	how to run this Ludlum meter, you know, can you help
3	me out over the phone, or come out and show me? I'm
4	running the snapshot, the gas chromatograph, I'm going
5	to need some assistance with that. Policy, maybe some
6	policy questions. What is our procedure or policy
7	regarding a particular subject matter.
@	Okay. As to policy and procedure, that was all in a
9	book which sounded like it was going under a never-
10	ending revision. Is that fair to say?
A 1	There was a policy and procedure manual being revised,
12	that's fair to say.
₽3	Okay. And policy and procedures would or ought to be
14	or hopefully were in there?
A 5	Not totally encompassing. A lot of our job requires,
16	you know, thought and technical background to derive
17	answers, and not everything is written down for us.
₽8	What does the safety coordinator position of today do
19	differently than the safety specialist position of
20	yesterday?
21	MS. ZOBEL: Safety specialist or
22	MR. COVELL: I'm sorry. Thank you. Thank
yg u.	
Q 4	(By Mr. Covell) Safety supervisor position.
⊉ 5	They have more hands-on assignments. They are ask
	asked to actually be solely responsible for, for

1	example, all UA collections. We no longer use a third
2	party as we were at the time. They've got a lot more
3	duties that are routine, nonsupervisory, non-directing
4	role.
©	What directing actions did the safety supervisor do?
∂	It was within their scope of authority to redirect
7	resources. For example, if we had a job that day that
8	needed extra assistance from one of their specialists,
9	they would have the authority to ask for and redirect
10	people to assist and coordinate when we had the
11	abnormal conditions.
Q 2	Okay. But do you know if the safety supervisor ever
13	actually directed somebody to, say, get off that pad
7.4	and go to this one?
14	ana go co enib one.
<u>14</u> <u> </u>	Yes.
A 5	Yes.
Δ 5 Q 6	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell
Δ 5 Q 6 17	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell me?
型5 Q6 17 型8	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell me? No. I had, of course, my scope of activity and the
Δ 5 Q 6 17 Δ 8 19	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell me? No. I had, of course, my scope of activity and the supervisor had their scope.
Δ5 Ω6 17 Δ8 19 Ω0	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell me? No. I had, of course, my scope of activity and the supervisor had their scope. Right.
№5 №6 17 №8 19 №0 №1	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell me? No. I had, of course, my scope of activity and the supervisor had their scope. Right. So the only way for me to quantify how much directing
♣5 №6 17 ♣8 19 №0 ♣1 22	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell me? No. I had, of course, my scope of activity and the supervisor had their scope. Right. So the only way for me to quantify how much directing took place was to speak specialists that were employed
Δ5 Ω6 17 Δ8 19 Ω0 Δ1 22 23	Yes. And who, what, when, where, why and how? Can you tell me? No. I had, of course, my scope of activity and the supervisor had their scope. Right. So the only way for me to quantify how much directing took place was to speak specialists that were employed then, that are still employed now and gauge what their

A	And that's how I derive my opinion that there was
2	quite a bit of directing or coordinating that went on
3	from that position, as I expected it to.
Q	Okay. Well, let me
B	And in my absence, it was the sole managerial position
6	left on the site.
Q	Let me sort of work on the coordinating versus
8	directing issue here for a little bit. I mean, and
9	I'm asking for examples, I'm not suggesting this
10	happened, but, for instance, were ever in the office
11	with Mr. Gilbert or Mr. Kirk, and you hear them on the
12	phone saying, safety special Smith, you leave pad 19
13	and you go to pad 20 now and perform hot work permit?
 4	In the back of my mind I want to say, yes, I've heard
15	those conversations, but I could not give you exactly
16	who, what, when, where at this late stage of the
Q 7	And to that same type of situation, have you had a
18	safety specialist come to you and say, yesterday Mr.
19	Kirk called me and said I have to go from pad 19 to
20	pad 20, and do a hot and explain that to you or
21	complain about it or whatever they might communicate
22	about it?
⊉ 3	No, I can't recall that exact line of communication,
24	and I don't think it would have been something I would
25	have normally heard.
Q	Okay. If this is coordinating the work, is that more

1	of a collegial or peer coordination type of thing
2	where the men go to work in the morning and say, okay,
3	here are the jobs. We've got this extra job here to
4	do today, because this is a special project or
5	something, how are we going to divide this up, and
6	they sort of put their input in and share and decide
7	who can do which and what, and get it covered that
8	way, versus the boss man coming in and say, you go
9	here today, you go here today and you go there today?
10	If you can say.
∆ 1	It was certainly not a democracy. And in my position,
12	I looked to the supervisor to be the second in
13	command, and they had authoritative capability to
14	direct work and but in these embedded employees,
15	you need to understand what they're doing that day,
16	what their priorities are before you make a decision
17	who's best available to be redirected. So even though
18	there would be conversation, it was not up for a vote
19	who wanted to go do which activity.
2 0	Okay. Did you ever confer with Mr. Gilbert when you
21	were considering reclassifying the safety supervisor
22	job or eliminating it as the case may be, as to what
23	he did on a day-to-day basis?
⊉ 4	I don't think John and I sat down and went through any
25	specific classification question and answer of his
	position.

Q	Okay. Giving examples to the extent you possibly can,
2	can you tell me what you understood he did on a day-
3	to-day basis?
4	Yeah. I think my understanding of his job duties was
5	to be a second tier supervisor in the department, help
6	us, you know, formulate a better department, and
7	provide, you know, direction and oversight and growth
8	in the department from procedure writing to employee
9	development.
20	Okay. But like if you can give it to me by task, so
11	say on a typical day I would expect he would go and
12	conduct a meeting for the first hour, go out and do a
13	hot space entry permit, come back for an hour, or come
14	back, work two hours on PP&G revision, maybe eat, you
15	know, then routine at 1:30 you have to go out to pad
16	so and so or go supervise somebody at pad so and so
17	for about an hour, you know, and like that. Can you
18	break it down like that for me?
⊉ 9	I cannot, and the only reason not is because our days
20	were never typical. They were very untypical, and we
21	responded to the needs as they arose, but on a
22	typical, uninterrupted day
2 3	On a typical untypical day?
2 4	you would focus on a great deal of the time we
25	were spending the time on policy/procedure
	development, be a resource to both the client and our

1	other department staff on direction, questions,
2	answers, supporting them in a way to complete their
3	activities. And what we had is a lot of the nature
4	of our business is the unplanned events take place and
5	then you get engaged in those. There might be an
6	incident might be a lead a lead issue, or a
7	number of things.
Q	Sure. You might have to go out and investigate an
9	accident, you might have a lead meter go out somewhere
10	I take it or
全 1	You may have a question on where you remove some
12	paint, how much area do I need to pull, who's going to
13	coordinate the sampling at the lab, and, you know, so
14	and those functions came up quite frequently.
Q 5	Okay. And of those duties you just described in that
16	answer to that last question, how many of those
17	duties, if any, are different from the duties a safety
18	specialist would have performed?
⊉ 9	The specialist let's take lead for example, lead
20	paint. His job might have been to identify that we're
21	going to do some paint removal somewhere, and it could
22	have lead in it. His job may be to work with someone
23	to remove the paint product, and then get it into the
24	department for disposition and review. We John's
25	position oftentimes, the supervisor position, would
	help coordinate the disposition of that lead-potential

1	pain to a lab, receive results, interpret those
2	results, and determine if we had an issue with lead.
©	Okay. So the guy in the field might get the paint
4	samples, bring them into John; John might mail them
5	off to the lab in Colorado, which is one of the ones
6	you used I think and then Colorado might send them
7	back and say, here's your level, is that
ð	That's correct. And based on the level,
9	interpretating [sic] that data, we would say, we need
10	to be in respirators or not, and provide that
11	direction back to the field and send them on their
12	way.
2 3	Okay. And when you get the is it correct that when
14	you get the test result from Colorado of parts per
15	million or whatever it is, there's some manual that
16	dictates whether or not if the test result is in a
17	certain range, you I don't know if you classify
18	them as level 1 remediation, 2, 3, but whether or not
19	it's respirators or suits or, you know, wash downs or
20	whatever the appropriate treatment is?
A 1	Yeah, there's a lead standard from OSHA that we go by.
Q 2	Okay. Okay. All right. So then the coor or the
23	supervisor might look in the manual and say, okay, we
24	have a level 19, therefore you need to use procedure 3
25	kind of thing?
A	In context, that's correct. Yes.

Q	Okay. All right. Okay. And might also a safety
2	specialist make those same kinds of or might not
3	also a safety specialist do that same work vis-a-vis
4	looking at the sample and deciding what type of
5	remediation procedure was necessary?
8	Not usually, because we tended to want to have
7	oversight of those kind of exposure levels from this
8	from the supervisory positions to ensure we were in
9	compliance.
Φ0	Okay. Did specialists fill the supervisory role when
11	there was no safety supervisor on a hitch?
⊉ 2	If there was an absence from any position, the next
13	most qualified person was stepped up to that role as a
14	fill-in if we had available personnel. But when they
15	went to the new role, they assumed those duties and
16	responsibilities, and it was a temporary step up.
Q 7	Okay. And when that happened, did they get a letter
18	saying you're for these two weeks, you're
19	temporarily supervisor or not?
⊉ 0	Email transmission to indicate to the staff who was in
21	what position so they would know whom to call.
Q 2	Okay. Did anything go into their personnel folder in
23	that regard, if you know?
∄ 4	No.
Q 5	Did they get more money?
70	Not if it was townsome assistance.

Not if it was temporary assignment.

Α

- 0 Okay. Okay. As far as -- and this dovetails nicely.
- 2 As far as your comments regarding Mr. Gilbert filling
- in -- well, okay. Let's not go there yet. Did Mr.
- 4 Gilbert ever have the authority to hire and fire
- 5 people?
- A He never had to fire anyone, but I would say that not
- 7 -- not by himself as nor did I as the manager. It
- 8 would have taken consultation with HR and Gary
- 9 Buchanan as the business unit manager to actually
- 10 terminate employees.
- Q1 Okay. So if there's a piece of paper terminating a
- guy, would Gary Buchanan be the guy who signed it?
- As He would -- yes, and the one termination I was
- involved with early on in the -- my tenure there, it
- required Gary's signature and HR approval to process
- 16 it.
- Q7 Okay. And then is the converse true as to hiring,
- that Gary would sign it, and HR would.....
- Ag That's correct.
- Q₀approve it? Okay. All right. So position-wise
- in the organizational chart, he's two steps away from
- 22 Gary?
- And That's correct.
- Q4 Okay. When he stepped up as you put it to the HSE
- position -- that was your job, is that right?
- A No. HSE manager.

$ \mathfrak{Q} $	HSE manager. He would get an email then saying you're
2	HSE manager for this time frame?
3 3	Because I was on a standing four and three rotation I
4	was there Monday through Thursday.
©	Uh-huh.
8	Anytime I was absent, it was a standing order that
7	they were the next step up in point of contact for my
8	position.
9	Okay. And what additional authority would he have to
10	exercise in that position?
卦 1	That position as a step-up into my absence has the
12	same authority as I have in the position with a degree
13	of consultation by phone on any significant matters.
14	But if un if unreachable, that position has the
15	full the full authority.
Q 6	And when you say consultation by phone, you mean that
17	Mr. Gilbert in the HSE acting HSE manager position
18	would call you and consult with you?
⊉ 9	Not on every decision, but if we had a significant
20	incident, we have a company policy of notification to
21	the next level, and I would always get notified of a
22	major event in my absence as I would if I was in town
23	for a meeting, I would get called. Or if I was
24	actually on my R and R days, I would be called, so
Q 5	This job follows you around whether you're off or not?
A	And it's just a matter of company reporting policy.

- 1 It's not a matter of asking permission to proceed.
- 2 It's a matter of notification.
- And what kind of issues might you get a phone call
- about when you were on R and R?
- Company policy required notification up the chain of
- 6 command for a lost time accident.
- Q Okay.
- A Significant spills.
- Q Okay.
- An That's always in our policy requirements is
- notification on incidents.
- Q2 What kind of decisions might Mr. Gilbert have made as
- HSE acting manager that he wouldn't have called you
- about, and he wouldn't have made as safety supervisor?
- More -- I think more of it's decisions that might have
- been discussed or progressed and staff meetings that I
- would normally been the primary attendee that in my
- stead Ron or John would have attended. We had a
- senior staff meeting that they would attend in my
- absence, and there was always progress on action items
- that would need to be relayed or discussed, or some
- degree of decisionmaking took place in my absence that
- normally I would have been the primary attendee to
- those meetings and been involved with those decisions.
- Q5 Okay. So they'd go to these meetings. They'd disseminate that information, and it's a little

1	unclear about the decisionmaking. Are you saying
2	decision making within this sphere of those meetings,
3	and the issues that are being discussed there, or do
4	you mean independent of that?
B	The most most frequently decisions would have had
6	to have been made to keep things progressed, like at
7	those meetings, and also if other issues came up, I
8	can't think of one specifically, but it could have
9	been an HR-related matter, could have been someone
10	needing additional time off, shift change, problems
11	with someone's performance in the field of a given day
12	that needed to be addressed or other managerial
13	matters that I would have normally maybe been been
14	addressing.
Q 5	Okay. Well, for instance, I think yesterday we heard
16	about apparently the administrative staff, Kim and
17	somebody else, seemed to be going at it as it were.
18	Is that a situation that you eventually dealt with and
19	got resolved?
⊉ 0	Initially it was dealt with by Ron Kirk, which John's
21	alternate.
Q 2	Uh-hum.
⊉ 3	And the resolution that he came up with wasn't
24	satisfying to the two people that had issues, so we
25	it eventually made it to me, and we took a different
	action.

\mathfrak{Q}	And was that letting one of them go or
<u> 2</u>	Actually, no, we had a discussion of working more
3	collaboratively together and fixing some problems.
4	Eventually there was a reduction in force that
5	eliminated one of those positions, but it was not
6	associated with this performance issue.
Q	Okay. All right. And so as far as specific examples
8	of what a safety supervisor, or Mr. Gilbert might have
9	done as safety supervisor, outside of what we've
10	already discussed, do you have any other examples you
11	can give me?
⊉ 2	If I was on shift, I would normally have been the
13	facilitator on some of the safety meetings. And in my
14	absence, I expected those to continue to be held, and
15	the facilitator role of that fell to the supervisory
16	position.
Q 7	Okay. If there was a and I don't know if this
18	happened or not, and you can comment in that regard,
19	but if there was a time when you're not there, and
20	there's no safety supervisor there, then would a
21	safety specialist be the facilitator for the meeting?
⊉ 2	Only if they're stepped up into that supervisory role.
2 3	Okay. When Mr. Gilbert was a safety specialist, do
24	you know if he ever was stepped up into safety
25	supervisor?
А	Not during my tenure, no.

Q	Okay. Had he been, would he have received an email in
2	that regard?
<u>a</u>	There should have been an email designating who was
4	assuming the role of supervisor or manager in their
5	absence.
8	Okay. And who I would assume that when you're HSE
7	manager and you're gone, you're saying you didn't send
8	an email, because that was a routine thing, right?
₿	Between my position as manager and the supervisor
10	position, it was an on-going basis of my schedule that
11	required them to be stepped up in my absence, so there
12	was not an email, but on the vacation coverage or
13	other unscheduled coverage issues, if someone was
14	stepped up, the person departing, supervisor or
15	manager, would put out an email who was going to be
16	their step up and their points of contact.
Q 7	Okay. So it would either come from you or the safety
18	supervisor
⊉ 9	Yes.
20	that the email?
⊉1	That's correct.
Q 2	Okay. All right. Is it right or wrong that the
23	safety well, let me just ask it this way. You have
24	and you have had safety specialists, safety
25	supervisor, and safety coordinator is that the name
	of the coordinator job?

- A The new position is called a safety coordinator.
- Q Okay. What activities are co-extensive to all those
- g positions, if any?
- A Ones that bridge all those positions?
- Q Yeah. In other words, what jobs, what tasks do each
- one of those guys do that are the, you know, if you do
- 7 -- for instance, does each one of those jobs do hot
- 8 work permits?
- We're talking routine tasks or what tasks you may do?
- Qo Well -- yeah. Well, let's start with may. Do each
- one of those jobs do hot work permits?
- Any of our positions may do a hot work permit.
- Q_3 Okay. And each one -- okay. And the same as to
- 14 confined space entry?
- A₅ That's correct.
- Q_6 Okay. The same as to walk-downs or audits?
- A7 That's correct.
- Q_8 The same as to PP&G revision and update?
- **A**9 No.
- Qo Okay. Who would or wouldn't be doing that?
- At The specialist may have input into the language of a
- particular policy or procedure but final authority
- over the final content and for regulatory compliance
- and final approval would come from a higher authority,
- supervisor or manager's position.
- Q Okay. Did the supervisors routinely sign off on the

1	revised PP&G?
<u> </u>	There is not a particular sign-off location on any of
3	those policies.
Q	If there's a new P a newer, revised PP&G, wouldn't
5	that be something that's passed by you and got your
6	approval in one form or another?
身	Ultimately it would have to go to corporate for
8	approval.
Q	Okay. All right. Besides those tasks I mentioned to
10	my recollection and thinking, that comprises a large
11	portion of what a safety specialist does, and I think
12	you're telling me you don't or is that so?
⊉ 3	That's only a portion of what they do. Permitting is
14	actually probably only 20 percent of their activity.
Q 5	Okay. So beyond that well, there's permitting and
16	auditing okay. What else would you expect the
17	safety specialist to be doing?
 ₽8	From an auditing perspective, that does cover the
19	field presence of going out and being visible to
20	employees, looking for compliance, coaching of
21	employees in safe practices, just insuring that people
22	are working in a safe manner, is a larger portion of
23	their day, being visible and present for a resource to
24	those field employees.
2 5	Okay. And what else, if anything?
A	They would complete required paperwork associated with

1	injuries, to include worker's comp forms, incident
2	reports. They may be an attendee or a participant in
3	an investigation committee or team.
Q	Okay. Anything else?
Ą	They would provide assistance with UA collections when
6	they were being done post accident or reasonable
7	cause, suspicion test.
Q	Okay. All right. And then that's the list?
3	That's pretty much it.
Q 0	All right of those additional activities we just
11	talked about, are any of those activities something a
12	safety supervisor would not do?
全 3	They would not do those routinely, but the manager and
14	the supervisor may be required to do those
15	occasionally.
Q 6	Okay. And then of all those things we talked about,
17	are any of those things the safety you a safety
18	coordinator would not do?
 9	The safety coordinator position as it currently exists
20	does not routinely engage in the permitting process.
21	they are more focused on special projects, the drug
22	and alcohol program administration, and they have been
23	used to take on duties to alleviate some of the daily
24	duties from a specialist to allow them to concentrate
25	more on auditing and field presence.
0	7 m d

And when you say daily duties, what's contained in

Q

1	that?
<u> </u>	Paperwork. For instance, now if there is an incident,
3	the injury paperwork is completed by someone other
	than the specialist, so that paperwork is now
4	completed by the coordinator whereas in the past it
5	-
6	was the paperwork kept out specialists in the
7	office too much.
©	Okay. It sounds to me like this is a very paperwork
9	intensive job, is that so? In other words, that
⊉ 0	There's a lot of forms to complete, and in the in
11	the in an incident event. Daily daily their
12	paperwork load is probably 15 or 20 percent of their
13	activity in the coordinator role.
Q 4	Okay.
⊉ 5	The rest of it, some hands-on activity. They may pro
16	they provide respiratory fit tests. They provide
17	hearing tests. They provide documentation and
18	coordination of of post-offer medical reviews for
19	people being hired. So there's a they have a
20	different set of activities that they're conducting
21	that are some are new for the coordinator role.
22	Some were inherited from the specialist.
2 3	From the specialist or supervisor?
⊉ 4	From the specialist.
Q 5	Okay.
A	They no longer do any of the well, in my opinion,

they no longer do the supervisory capacity. There's 1 -- all -- we have now have a full-time supervisor, a 2 manager on the position whereas where I did not have 3 an alternate and worked four and three, when we went 4 to a full-time manager's role, that second tier 5 position was not as critical, because there's someone 6 there all the time. 7 Okay. Of those activities you said the coordinator does, are those activities that the safety supervisor 9 would have done when Mr. Gilbert was in that job? 10 Not routinely, but some of the activities could have **A**1 been done by the safety supervisor position. 12 **Q**3 Okay. Just not as a routine duty expectation. **A**4 Okay. Well, Mr. Gilbert's represented that among the **Q**5 things he did as safety supervisor was that if a man 16 was injured in the field, the field specialist would 17 bring him in and sort of hand him off. He'd fill the 18 paperwork out and make sure he got to the medic and on 19 a plane if he needed to do that, that he would receive 20 client calls on safety issues, that he would fill out 21 paperwork, that he would coordinate coverage of safety 2.2 specialists. Would you agree or disagree that he 23 would do those duties routinely as safety supervisor? 2.4 I would agree to those duties with an exception. **A**5 Uh-hum. Q

METRO COURT REPORTING

A	The exception being that we did not have a centralized
2	expectation of completion of injury paperwork at that
3	position. We actually did have centralized injury
4	paperwork completion for a period of time happening in
5	a different position which was filed by two other
6	employees, which was the trainer's position, and we
7	eventually through reorganization moved that
8	centralization back into the specialist role to
9	complete their paperwork.
20	Okay. So a supervisor might have hands on the
11	paperwork for a while and pass it back to the
12	specialist, is am I understanding that right?
⊉ 3	We typically that position on line would have a
14	review opportunity on completed paperwork.
Q 5	Okay.
4 6	And to help to determine if it was the OSHA
17	reportability status of that event.
₽8	Let me hand you the exhibits from this morning's
19	deposition, and we'll go off record. I'm going to ask
20	you to look at them for a few minutes. I'm going to
21	take a break. And then I'm just going to ask you if
22	you're familiar with them or not. My expectation is
23	you might not be. Okay. Thank you.
24	(Off record)
25	(On record)

COURT REPORTER: We're back on record at

METRO COURT REPORTING

12:26.

- Q (By Mr. Covell) You've had a chance to look at the
- g exhibits that are I think -- those stickers have all
- B's, B-1 through 6 or something. Are any of those
- 5 documents familiar to you?
- A Not in their current context. The exhibit marked B-1
- has the attachment of the Alaska statutes regarding
- exempt versus non-exempt, and I am familiar with that
- g documents, but not as an attachment to this document.
- Q_0 Okay. All right. And is that something you used when
- you did this review of the positions around March of
- 12 2003?
- MS. ZOBEL: I think it's 2002.
- A4 2002. And, no, the document I used is the -- was the
- federal question and answer guideline put out as a
- tool of evaluation. But I was aware of and had read
- this language prior to that.
- Q₈ In the context of doing the review of the positions in
- 19 '02 or '03 -- '02 into '03?
- \underline{A}_0 I did the review in 2002.
- Q_1 Okay. And am I correct that you either saw papers --
- those papers or ones like them, or at least were
- familiar with the concepts in those papers in doing
- 24 that review?
- I was familiar only in the concept of the paper attached to exhibit B-1, which is the Alaska statutes.

METRO COURT REPORTING

- Q Okay. Great.
- MR. COVELL: We're going to give those all back to Madam Clerk right now to keep those out of girculation.
- 5 COURT REPORTER: Uh-huh.
- @ (By Mr. Covell) All right. Okay. In regard to --
- 7 I'm going to go backwards just for a minute. In
- g regard to taking -- Kuparuk is 40 miles or so from
- 9 Prudhoe, is that right?
- An Uh-huh. Approximately, yes.
- Q1 Okay. So if a safety specialist or supervisor had to
- take an injured party to the medic, would they have to
- go all the way to Prudhoe for that?
- A4 No.
- Q5 Okay. Where would they go?
- A6 Down the hallway to the clinic at Kuparuk.
- Q7 Okay. Were there injuries or conditions that would
- 18 require taking somebody to Prudhoe?
- A9 Occasionally we would take someone to the clinic in --
- in Deadhorse.
- Q_1 Okay.
- Ap Which is approximately 45 miles away, but it was a
- 23 rare exception.
- Q4 Okay. And then if somebody was going to fly out, do
- you get air service into Kuparuk, or do they fly out

Prudhoe/Deadhorse?

METRO COURT REPORTING

A	We have both, but the primary air service was in and
2	out of Kuparuk.
g	If somebody's injured and want to go home sooner, they
4	might ride to Prudhoe and go home?
B	There's a bus service that goes there daily to those
6	flights that leave out of Prudhoe, and occasionally we
7	as a company may have someone transport them.
@	Okay. And I would take it you're less likely to put
9	an injured person on a bus and more likely to give
10	them a ride obviously, depending on the degree of
11	injury?
⊉ 2	Depending on the degree of injury and type, yes.
₽3	Sure. Okay. All right. As far as the emails that
14	give people their step-ups, would you expect that Mr.
15	Gilbert would have issued emails of that nature?
⊉ 6	If he was going to be absent without an alternate, and
17	I was not assuming responsibilities for the management
18	of the department, if in his absence and my absence,
19	he was going to be gone, or Ron was going to be gone,
20	they like everyone else would need to identify someone
21	to step up in their position.
Q 2	Let's say he was going to be gone, but you were going
23	to be there, then would he be expected to sending an
24	email?
⊉ 5	No, John and I did not as a routine basis transfer
	emails back and forth about the two of us being

covering for one another unless we were both going to 1 be gone, because we were kind of in a standing mode of 2. -- of department leads in the absence of one or the 3 other. Okay. In -- let's see. What's your background, education and training? 6 承 I have 10 years in the military, military courses associated with safety and QC. I have an associate's 8 degree from University of Alaska, and on-going 9 education, safety-related education and a CSP. 10 Okay. You indicated that you'd done evaluations of **Q**1 the safety specialist position at another employer? 12 Not the specialist position in particular. **A**3 actually an issue with hourly involving quality 14 assurance personnel. 15 And was that at Veco or..... **Q**6 **₽**7 It was at a prior employer. Who might that have been? **Q**8 MS. ZOBEL: Is it relevant? 19 MR. COVELL: Sure. 20 Can I say? **A**1 MS. ZOBEL: Yeah, you can say. 22 Yeah, Veco. **A**3 (By Mr. Covell) Okay. All right. 24 It's a case -- there's case law about that. **A**5

METRO COURT REPORTING

Q

And you evaluated -- and that was evaluating quality

1	control people for overtime?
<u> </u>	It it was, and as a correlation to the evaluation
3	of the quality control positions, we decided that
4	there was enough similarities in the safety specialist
5	positions to change them at the same time.
8	Okay. And what was your role in doing that
7	evaluation?
₽	At the time
9	MS. ZOBEL: At Veco?
10	MR. COVELL: Yeah.
全 1	At the time at Veco, I was the HSE manager in Prudhoe,
12	and we had a number of specialists that worked in our
13	department.
Q 4	Okay. And you made the decision to change it from
15	exempt to non-exempt, is that right?
 46	The company at the time Veco made the decision
17	above me to to change the hourly. We had employees
18	working at different contracts, and some were day
19	rates, some were already hourly, so we went went a
20	consistent hourly rate for specialists.
21	Okay. Did you do the analysis on those checklists or
22	tests at
⊉ 3	The analysis were was performed, and I was a
24	participant in answering questions from the analysis.
Q 5	All right. So is it correct to understand that they
	said, Mr. Smith, what do these guys actually do kind

1	of type questions?
<u> </u>	That's correct.
§	Okay. All right. And you gave that input and they
4	did the analysis and made the decision, is
B	Yeah.
6	that fair?
办	Yes, corporate HR and counsel made the review and
8	final determination.
9	Okay. All right. And the process you did at APC
10	contrary to what you did at Veco, was you did the
11	entire process, is that right or wrong?
 42	I did not do the entire process. My personal
13	involvement was arriving on site and inheriting a
14	department that had specialists working at day rates,
15	and bringing that to the attention of my manager that
16	we should probably review that.
2 7	Okay. And it's because you did it at the last place,
18	and you said, gee, maybe we should at this here?
⊉ 9	There was definitely an anomaly between the two and
20	thought we should be consistent.
21	Okay. All right. And then when you did the review

24 did you do the whole thing? Did you make the

22

23

evaluation, do the analysis with or without the assistance say of Mr. Boyle?

METRO COURT REPORTING

with APC, did you serve in the same role where they

were saying, Mr. Smith, what are these guys doing, or

A	At the time, my input was much shorter and more
2	direct. It was to Mr. Buchanan that based on prior
3	knowledge that I had became aware of these positions
4	should be probably moved to hourly positions.
g	Okay. And then I'm just trying to understand how
6	this all went mechanically so to speak, and then did
7	Mr. Buchanan come back and say, yeah, you're right,
8	we're changing them, or did I thought you said you
9	sat down and did the check list or something?
⊉ 0	We I did with Gary so he would understand why I
11	felt the way I did. I took him through the process
12	very shortly, in the matter of an hour, what we spent
13	weeks on at my prior employer.
Ω4	Okay. Because you changed the brake shoes once and it
15	took 10 hours, and you changed brake shoes this time,
16	and it only took an hour?
⊉ 7	That's correct.
₽8	Okay. Okay. All right. And so you learned from the
19	Veco experience how to do the this analysis, and
20	you participated greatly in it the second time, is
21	
	that fair?
⊉ 2	that fair? I would say that my participation the second time was
⊉ 2 23	
	I would say that my participation the second time was
23	I would say that my participation the second time was much shorter than the first time, and that it was more

- A There's a process to make the change.
- Okay. Tell me about that?
- A Okay. This change is dependent upon a contract
- 4 change. Our contract did not have hourly rates for
- these positions in the context of our contract with
- 6 ConocoPhillips.
- All right. Okay.
- A Our contract re -- would require amendment and
- g development of rates to make this change. The
- amendment process and the rate development process
- 11 took quite a bit of time.
- Q2 Okay. All right. Was counsel involved in the
- decisionmaking, if you know?
- A4 Not to my knowledge.
- Q5 Okay. Is it correct for me to understand that
- basically you and Mr. Buchanan got together, knocked
- heads and reached that conclusion? That.....
- As I don't think that conclusion was reached as a basis
- of our discussion, but I'm not sure what discussions
- 20 took place after that.
- Q1 All right. So you don't know what happened up the
- food chain from that?
- I -- I actually don't know, no,
- Q4 Okay. All right. Okay. In the course -- okay.
- Besides doing the Veco thing with exempt and this instance, have you had other occasion to deal with the

1	exempt/non-exempt issue?
<u> </u>	Yes.
©	Okay. Can you lots of times or a few times?
4	Just a few times.
Ş	Can you tell just tell me what each one was for
6	starters?
办	We evaluated a position, a safety position that we
8	placed at Fort Greeley under a government contract
9	that had it was a single position that operated as
10	a member of management with oversight of
11	subcontractors, but also had some of the specialist
12	type activities in their daily routine. So we had to
13	evaluate what type of position that was and make a
14	determination.
Q 5	Okay. And when was that?
⊉ 6	That was done in 2004.
Ω7	Okay. And what did you decide to do, or what was your
18	conclusion?
⊉ 9	Hmm?
20	MS. ZOBEL: Yeah. You can tell.
⊉ 1	We decided that position was exempt hourly, and it was
22	compensable for all hours worked, and was placed on
23	that contract in that way, and it was within the terms
24	of our contract with the government.
Q 5	And why did you decide it was exempt hourly?
A	Just based on the percentage and mix of duties that

- the position was required to perform.
- Q Okay. And did you look at the 20 percent test as to
- 3 whether or....
- A Yes.
- 6 percentage of the time was -- was going to be spent on
- 7 non-exempt duties?
- Looked at all the routine duties that may be focus of
- 9 that position, determined they were less than 20
- percent, but that the position was going to be
- 11 required to work some weekend time, potentially be
- 12 called out. Some kind of an on-call position of
- management and it could be seen as getting a lot of
- 14 after-hours interruptions.
- Q5 Okay.
- And we wanted to make sure that they were compensated
- for time spent after the normal duty day.
- Qg Okay. All right. And so then that position would get
- paid for -- let's say they had a routine -- would it
- 20 have a routine 12-hour day?
- government contract.
- So then if a guy worked 12 hours, he'd get two more
- hours of straight time pay, right?
- An That's correct.
- Q Okay. All right. So there's that one. What other

- ones did you do?
- Let me think for a second here. We evaluated a couple
- of offshore safety positions in the Gulf that we were
- asked to fill. And the.....
- Q Of Mexico?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay.
- And we have operations from out of New Iberia, and
- 9 they were client representative positions, and
- initially were recommended or wanted to be compensated
- by the client on a day rate, and we looked at what
- their re -- their tasks were and decided that they
- were doing a lot of routine specialist type functions,
- and we supplied them only under agreement that they
- would be paid hourly with overtime.
- Q6 Okay. Okay. Coming back to the Fort Greeley job,
- you're familiar with the issue of paying certain
- positions a -- paying them for all hours worked, is
- 19 that so?
- Ao I'm not an expert, but I -- every time I look at the
- documents, I try to digest them properly.
- Q2 Every time you do this, you learn more and more,
- 23 right?
- A4 Yes.
- Q5 Okay. All right. Are you aware of APC within the context of safety specialists working on the North

1	Slope or at Kuparuk or possibly Prudhoe Bay if you've
2	got people there, ever compensating any employee in
3	that similar manner, i.e., that they would be
4	compensated for all hours worked, even if they were
5	exempt?
∂	I'm not aware of any positions that were exempt hourly
7	positions.
@	Vis-a-vis the safety supervisor position, why wouldn't
9	a safety supervisor be entitled to be paid for all
10	hours worked in excess of their normal shift in this
11	particular if they're called out or something, just
12	like the Greeley position?
⊉ 3	In my opinion, the Greeley position was one deep with
14	no supervisory or directing responsibilities. There
15	was no one reporting in an organization to that
16	position.
Ω7	Let me stop you. What does one deep mean? I
 8	One person, no other they were only there was
19	only one person in the safety department at Fort
20	Greeley versus having a department of personnel to
21	direct and administrate over.
Q 2	Okay.
∄ 3	So my interpretation and looking at the positions, the
24	differential is the supervisor position in Kuparuk was
25	in the chain of command over a department, and it
	provided administrative oversight and was a step up

for the manager position and a member of the senior 1 management team in my absence, so they were very 2. different in nature from my opinion. 3 And so because of that then, they wouldn't be entitled to be paid for every hour worked without the overtime 5 premium? 6 More based on my interpretation of the -- kind of the 承 80/20 rule of how much percentage of time that 8 position would routinely perform duties that would 9 fall into a non-exempt category. 10 Okay. So the reason why the safety supervisor **Q**1 wouldn't get paid for every hour worked was because in 12 your opinion they weren't spending 20 percent of their 13 time doing non-exempt work? 14 That's the largest component with the additional **A**5 component being that I viewed them to be more 16 supervisory in nature because of the department 17 configuration of the organization. 18 I think you've already told us you're not aware **Q**9 of Carr's letter. 20 MR. COVELL: But let's go ahead and get that, 21 Madame Clerk, out of the B exhibits. I'm going to object to questions MS. ZOBEL: 23 in which you're asking him to draw legal conclusions with regard to these payments. I think that's for the court to

METRO COURT REPORTING

decide in this case.

- 1 MR. COVELL: Okay. That's fine. Objection's poted.
- COURT REPORTER: So the last two look like the quantity Carr letters.
- 5 MR. COVELL: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.
- 7 MR. COVELL: I'm just taking 6 here, I'm giving you the rest back.
- 9 COURT REPORTER: Very good.
- An Do you have a copy of it?
- Q₁ (By Mr. Covell) Yeah, I'm going to.....
- MS. ZOBEL: He's going to.....
- Q_3 give you this one.
- MS. ZOBEL:give you that.
- Q_5 You already looked at this. And I believe you
- indicated you're not familiar with it, but -- and I'm
- not suggesting one way or the other that you are or
- you aren't, but just looking at it again, is that --
- that letter is not familiar to you, is that correct?
- And That's correct.
- Q1 Okay. Would you look at the last page of the
- document, and go ahead and read the second and third
- to last paragraphs.
- MS. ZOBEL: I'd ask that he read the third,

fgurth and fifth -- the -- starting at the top of the page.

MR. COVELL: That's fine with me.

METRO COURT REPORTING

- Q (By Mr. Covell) All right. I guess now that we've
- got you reading, why don't you go ahead.....
- A Well....
- 0and read -- read aloud for us.....
- A Yes. Would you like for me to start at exempt?
- 6 MS. ZOBEL: Why don't we -- we could go off the record and let him read it to himself.
- A I don't mind reading it.
- MS. ZOBEL: I mean, is there any reason that we need to have this.....
- MR. COVELL: That's fine. Go ahead.
- MS. ZOBEL:read into the record?
- MR. COVELL: Let's go off record. Go ahead

and read it.

- 15 (Off record)
- 16 (On record)
- 17 COURT REPORTER: We're back on record at

12:47.

- Qo (By Mr. Covell) All right. Mr. Smith, you've been
- looking at the last two pages of what was marked this
- morning as B-6, and we're going to get that marked
- again for this deposition.
- MS. ZOBEL: I think he looked at the last page of B-6.
- MR. COVELL: Okay. That's fine.
 - MS. ZOBEL: If you want him to read the first

METRO COURT REPORTING

page, he can do that, too.

- MR. COVELL: No, that's okay. In any event, we'll get that marked for this deposition, make it an exhibit to this deposition.
- 5 MS. ZOBEL: Just the last page, or the whole exhibit?
- 7 MR. COVELL: Might as well mark the whole gxhibit for.....
- 9 MS. ZOBEL: That's fine.
- 10 MR. COVELL:continuity's sake.
- MS. ZOBEL: Then let's let him review the whole exhibit.
- MR. COVELL: Okay. Let's go off record then.
- 14 (Off record)
- 15 (Deposition Exhibit S-1 marked)
- 16 (On record)
- 17 COURT REPORTER: We're back on record at
- 12:53.
- Q9 (By Mr. Covell) Mr. Smith, you looked at this B-6
- 20 which is now also D.....
- MS. ZOBEL: S.
- Q₂ I'm sorry, S-1, right?
- A3 That is correct.
- Q4 Okay. And you read the whole document, and you also
- read that last page?
- A That's correct.

METRO COURT REPORTING

$ \mathfrak{Q} $	Okay. Is the direction that appears on the last page
2	about paying an employee for all hours worked the type
3	of guidance that you followed in deciding that the
4	Fort Greeley position out to be paid for all hours
5	worked?
8	It was not the guidance that I was provided, and was
7	not this this is the first time I've seen this
8	document, and did not use this document or language of
9	that particular type by Mr. Carr in making that
10	evaluation.
Q 1	Okay. But is it the same issue? In other words, you
12	look at these positions and say, this person is
13	exempt, but still needs to be paid for all hours
14	worked?
⊉ 5	I think we solved the problem, but unfortunately I
⊉ 5 16	I think we solved the problem, but unfortunately I didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem.
16	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem.
16 17	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem. I was not aware of this opinion. Because of the
16 17 18	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem. I was not aware of this opinion. Because of the difficulty in determining this, based on what Mr. Carr
16 17 18 19	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem. I was not aware of this opinion. Because of the difficulty in determining this, based on what Mr. Carr states as needing to piece it together from statutes
16 17 18 19 20	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem. I was not aware of this opinion. Because of the difficulty in determining this, based on what Mr. Carr states as needing to piece it together from statutes and prior rulings, our approach on that was the
16 17 18 19 20 21	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem. I was not aware of this opinion. Because of the difficulty in determining this, based on what Mr. Carr states as needing to piece it together from statutes and prior rulings, our approach on that was the position at Fort Greeley was more based around the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem. I was not aware of this opinion. Because of the difficulty in determining this, based on what Mr. Carr states as needing to piece it together from statutes and prior rulings, our approach on that was the position at Fort Greeley was more based around the expectation, since that was a singular position, that
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	didn't use this as the issue to resolve the problem. I was not aware of this opinion. Because of the difficulty in determining this, based on what Mr. Carr states as needing to piece it together from statutes and prior rulings, our approach on that was the position at Fort Greeley was more based around the expectation, since that was a singular position, that it would likely be experiencing a lot of call-out

the Greeley case, should they get paid for every hour 1 worked? 2. I believe they should if it's a routine expectation. Ą Okay. What if they routinely worked -- if their scheduled shift was 12 hours and they routinely worked 5 in excess of 12 hours, should they expect to get paid 6 for every hour worked? 7 MS. ZOBEL: Are you -- are you talking about 8 in the context of people who are exempt under administrative/ executive, or are you talking about under the supervisory? MR. COVELL: Either. 11 Could I get a definition of routine? What you would 42 consider routinely working over..... 13 (By Mr. Covell) More than once.... **Q**4the scheduled..... **A**5more than once a week. **Q**6 **₽**7 I think if you were routine -- routinely working more than once a week, you would have to look at what are 18 the tasks that time's being spent on. If those tasks 19 do not fit within the exempt status, you would need to 20 compensate those employees. 21 **Q**2 Okay. Should the safety supervisor position have been compensated for every hour worked under that standard 23 if they worked in excess of 12 hours a day and were 24 engaged in non-exempt duties? 25

METRO COURT REPORTING

MS. ZOBEL: I'm going to object to the extent

it calls for a legal conclusion.

- MR. COVELL: Thank you.
- (By Mr. Covell) You can go ahead and answer.
- A I -- when I did the -- my personal review of that
- position, I did not feel that that position engaged in
- duties and the overtime expectation of call-out and
- 7 routine functions to be classified as non-exempt. So
- g that job at that location with that title, no, I don't
- g feel that position was a non-exempt position.
- Q_0 Okay. And I'm saying for the purposes of this
- question, it's a non-exempt position. Okay. Agreeing
- with you. And then saying, if they're.....
- Non -- let me rephrase that. I want to make sure that
- it was -- it was a -- it was not a non-exempt position
- of my opinion at that location at that time, that the
- duties involved and the hours expected to be on the
- job did not in my opinion make it a non-exempt
- position, that I felt like even with this letter of
- new information for me, I would not have made a
- suggestion to reclassify that job hourly at that time.
- Q1 And you're saying the safety special -- safety
- 22 supervisor position in Kuparuk when Mr. Gilbert was
- there?
- A4 That's correct.
- Q5 Okay. All right. And I'm saying for purposes of this questioning, assume that's so, assume that that safety

METRO COURT REPORTING

- specialist position is not entitled to overtime.
- A The safety supervisor position.
- Safety supervisor, thank you. They're exempt from
- 4 overtime.
- A Okay.
- Q Okay. As your Greeley position is, right?
- A It's exempt from overtime, but not exempt from all
- 8 hours worked.
- Q Okay. All right. So I'm saying they're both exempt
- from overtime, and I'm saying, shouldn't -- if the
- 11 safety supervisor worked hours in excess of a 12-hour
- day, shouldn't they have been compensated for all
- hours worked, or in other words, got that additional
- 14 straight time?
- MS. ZOBEL: I'm going to jump in here, because I6don't agree with your interpretation that you're following here. I think the question is whether or not you believe that he is exempt as an administrative, executive or professional employee, in which case he gets his salary, his salary only, and he doesn't get any extra hours paid. And you're trying to assume that even if he's exempt under those classifications, he still should get every hour paid. And I don't agree with that interpretation.
- MR. COVELL: Okay. That's fine. And are you telling me that it's APC's position that the safety supervisor was exempt only as an administrative position?

- MS. ZOBEL: We're taking the position that he was exempt as an administrative position.
- 3 MR. COVELL: And.....
- MS. ZOBEL: I agree with you that if the court were to find that he was a supervisor as is defined in this, that he would get compensated for the hours worked at straight time. But that's the basis for my objection, that this is an issue for the court, this is not an issue for this witness. He can tell you how he would classify them, but it would not be a question of if he's exempt as administrative that he'd get extra hours.....
- MR. COVELL: Okay. Let.....
- MS. ZOBEL:which is I think where you ware going.
- MR. COVELL: Let's finish this colloquy here.

 180 then APC is not taking the position that he was exempt

 under any supervisory or other category?
- MS. ZOBEL: That's correct. And that will be the subject of a motion that we're going to bring, and if it 20 if you want to do a fall-back position, or we may even do a fall-back position, that he was supervisory. But it's for the gourt to make that determination.
- MR. COVELL: Well, wait a minute, wait a mainute. At one point during discovery I asked.....
- MS. ZOBEL: And I told you that it was administrative or supervisory.

MR. COVELL: I think I lost..... 1 MS. ZOBEL: I think it was a phone 2. gonversation we had. MR. COVELL: Well, I think in the discovery I have re -- well, I know in discovery I have responses gaying.... MS. ZOBEL: Uh-huh. 7 MR. COVELL:he's under all the 8 gategories, okay? MS. ZOBEL: That was -- that may have been 10 discovery. MR. COVELL: Okay. So, you know, to me that's 12 the -- that over-the-phone conversation, that's the same piece φ¼ paper, that's the position, A, B, C. MS. ZOBEL: Okay. 15 MR. COVELL: If you're telling me now -- are 16 you telling me now it's solely administrative, or it's administrative and supervisory, or administrative or supervisory? Or what are you telling me now? MS. ZOBEL: Why don't you wait for my motion. 20 MR. COVELL: Because I'm asking the man the 21 question during the depositions, and you're objecting on the basis that I'm..... MS. ZOBEL: Well, I -- let's..... 24

METRO COURT REPORTING

25

MR. COVELL:using the other category.

MS. ZOBEL: Let's go off the record for a

second.

- MR. COVELL: Okay.
- 3 (Off record)
- 4 (On record)
- 5 COURT REPORTER: We're back on record at 1:05.
- MR. COVELL: We've had an off-the record discussion here, and APC has clarified their position as to their assertion as to the safety supervisor's position exemption, and their position is that either (a) it is exempt as an administrative position, or (b) that if it's not exempt as an administrative position, it's exempt as a supervisory position.
- MS. ZOBEL: That's correct.
- MR. COVELL: Okay. All right. So that's what

we've said and that's.....

- MS. ZOBEL: And that's where we stand.
- MR. COVELL: That's where we stand.
- MS. ZOBEL: That is correct.
- 19 MR. COVELL: Okay. And furthermore it -- Ms.

Zobel is objecting to this ques -- or this line of questions and the court may rule on this at a latter date.

- MS. ZOBEL: That's correct.
- MR. COVELL: Okay.
- MS. ZOBEL: And it's a standing objection so I den't interrupt you.
 - MR. COVELL: I -- that's fine with me. Thank

METRO COURT REPORTING

y ou.	Okay.

- Q (By Mr. Covell) So in regard to the safety supervisor
- position, if the safety supervisor worked hours
- 4 routinely in excess of their regular shift, wouldn't
- it seem that this directs APC to pay the safety
- 6 supervisor for all hours worked?
- A If we had determined at the time that it was
- supervisory, and I was aware of this letter, yes, but
- 9 I did not feel that -- I felt the position at the time
- 10 met the exemption, and was not entitled to all hours
- 11 worked or overtime.
- Q2 Okay. So with this information, would that answer
- change then, if I understood what you told me in your
- 14 answer?
- A_5 No.
- Q6 Okay. And.....
- Not from my personal position, no.
- Qg Okay. And why is that?
- Ag The -- just as my position as the HSE manager I
- 20 believe was clearly exempt, because of the routine
- step-up into that role with same functionality, and
- the administrative direction in the department that
- went on, and the amount of time spent administrating
- versus routine task working, that that position,
- unlike Fort Greeley, would be an exempt role.
- Q Did the safety supervisor supervise or did the safety

1	supervisor administer?
<u> </u>	You'll have to give me your definition of supervise
3	versus administer.
Q	Well, the definition of supervi or administrative
5	is in the regulations you talked about, which you're
6	familiar with?
办	That's correct.
Q	Okay. So are you saying that the safety supervisor
9	met the administrative test?
ΦO	I believe at the time that that position did meet
11	did meet the test.
Q 2	All right. And are you familiar with the supervisory
13	test?
 4	I haven't looked at them in the last few months, but I
15	have looked at them in the past.
Q 6	Okay. Let's look at the first page of Mr. Carr's
17	letter there. Does that seem in that first indented
18	paragraph referencing 8 AAC 15.910(14) seem to set out
19	the supervisory test?
⊉ 0	This supervisory test as spelled out here with these
21	embedded employees mainly getting their daily task
22	direction from their as we salled them at the time
	direction from their, as we called them at the time,
23	clients that they were assigned to. Even though they
23 24	
	clients that they were assigned to. Even though they

direction, so our functionality was less daily 1 direction and more administration facilitation, 2. scheduling, the HR functionality, department 3 directions, implementation of policy and procedure. Okay. Thank you for that answer. Does this seem to set out what the test for a supervisory employee would 6 That is, an exempt supervisory employee? 7 MS. ZOBEL: To the extent you're asking for a 8 degal conclusion, I object. MR. COVELL: Thank you. 10 So what you're asking me, just for clarification is **A**1 the first.... 12 MR. COVELL: Let me withdraw the question. 13the first indented paragraph? **A**4 (By Mr. Covell) Is this -- is this the test for a \mathfrak{Q}_{5} supervisory employee exemption? 16 **₽**7 As I know of it, yes. Okay. All right. All right. And then did the **Q**8 position of safety supervisor that Mr. Gilbert 19 occupied meet this test for exemption? 20 so for clarification, you're asking me if that **⊉**1 position met the exemption per this test as 22 supervisory? 23 Right. **Q**4

METRO COURT REPORTING

A5

I -- I feel like it does not meet supervisory, that it

meets administrative more than supervisory based on

1	the context of this paragraph.
2	Okay. And which elements of this paragraph doesn't
3	the safety supervisor position meet?
4	The being employed solely for the purpose of regularly
5	assigning the activities, directing activities of
6	other employees. So and the regularly assigning,
7	that regularly assigning component is more of a
8	functionality that took place at the embedded site.
Q	Okay. Okay. And then let's see. And then would
10	you say the safety supervisor was responsible for
11	results of the work performed of other employees? I
12	guess would you okay. Would you say that's so or
13	not, the safety supervisor is responsible for the
14	results of the work performed by other employees?
A 5	Not directly. The specialists were more responsible
16	for their performance at their embedded location than
17	transferred to supervisor.
₽8	Okay. So if the specialist was having a product or
19	a work product problem, you'd go talk to the
20	specialist and not Mr. Gilbert and say is that
21	fair?
⊉ 2	No, John or I both may talk to that person. The
23	supervisor or the manager may speak to that person on
24	performance, but as I read understand, this is to
25	be more of an accountability perspective, that the
	supervisor would be accountable for the performance of

1	his employees working under him.
Q	Okay. And it's am I correct in understanding that
3	you never did an analysis of any other positions
4	besides Fort Greeley for APC or otherwise that
5	entailed this the issue of whether or not an
6	individual would get paid for, quote, all hours
7	worked, unquote?
₽	That's correct.
9	Okay. All right. There are numerous people in the
10	safety specialist and supervisor position, and
11	numerous people have gone through those jobs. Do you
12	think as a whole that they would agree or disagree
13	with the proposition that largely the safety
14	supervisor did the same work as the safety specialist?
⊉ 5	I can't draw that conclusion on the whole. I can only
16	speak to discussions with one or two employees about
17	their perception of the position.
₽8	Okay. And why don't you tell me about those one or
19	two name the employees and tell me about the
20	discussions?
⊉ 1	I spoke with Robert Carrier and Tom Mannix who were
22	both specialists at the time, and continue to be
23	specialists at Kuparuk, and asked them what their
24	perception was of the supervisor position in meeting
25	the activities that I felt made it exempt, and then
	their and their response to me was that they did

1	see that position as a position of authority with
2	administrative responsibilities, and it seemed to meet
3	the intent of what I presumed their perception would
4	be, to make it an exempt position.
ģ	Okay. But coming back to the question, would whether
6	or not coming back to the question, would they
7	agree or disagree with the proposition that the safety
8	supervisor largely did what a safety specialist did
9	and vice versa?
10	MS. ZOBEL: You're asking him to tell you what
φţher p	eople would think? If so, you're asking for
§p ecula	tion.
13	MR. COVELL: Well, I think he just partially
answered the question as to two individuals.	
15	MS. ZOBEL: Well, two individuals that he
talked	to about whether it was whether it was exempt or
 9 ^t .	
⊉ 8	Correct.
19	MS. ZOBEL: You're
20	MR. COVELL: Well, I'm and in analyzing
w hether	it's exempt, part of the analysis is whether or
22 t	•
23	MS. ZOBEL: If
24	MR. COVELL:you do the same job or not.
25	MS. ZOBEL: If you're asking whether these two

people believed believe that they did the same job, then he

has a basis for answering. If you're asking for all the people, then he has -- it lacks foundation.

- MR. COVELL: Well, I asked for all the people, and he told me he couldn't answer as to most of them, but as to two he could.
- 6 MS. ZOBEL: Okay. So is this question.....
- 7 MR. COVELL: And he was proceeding.....
- MS. ZOBEL:as to two or is this as to

all?

- MR. COVELL: I -- and this question is in clarification of the last question or two.
- MS. ZOBEL: All right.
- MR. COVELL: Okay. It's.....
- A4 Okay. In regards to the two people I spoke with.....
- Q5 (By Mr. Covell) Right.
- A6their perception was that the position did not do
- safety specialist work as a routine function.
- Qg Okay. Okay. As far as keeping records of hours that
- Mr. Gilbert may have worked -- oh we don't need to do
- 20 that. Never mind.
- MR. COVELL: Withdraw that.
- Q2 You didn't -- you did look at those pay records,
- 23 right?
- MS. ZOBEL: No, you've not shown him....
- MR. COVELL: Okay.
 - MS. ZOBEL:the pay records.

METRO COURT REPORTING

1	MR. COVELL: All right.
Q	(By Mr. Covell) I'd represent to you in discovery we
3	have pay records for Mr. Gilbert. Some have indicated
4	consistently 10 hours a day and some indicate one day
5	worked. Are you aware of any other records that might
6	indicate hours worked by Mr. Gilbert different than
7	those, or would indicate things different than those?
₽	Not at this time, no.
9	Okay. Mr. Gilbert submitted to the company what might
10	be called a daily log which is sort of a day planner
11	and has some notes about what he did each day and then
12	has a notation of hours, 12, 13, 14 and a half. Do
13	you have any informa assuming he represents that
14	those are the hours he worked those days, do you have
15	any information that would dispute that whether or
16	not he actually worked those hours?
⊉ 7	No.
28	Okay. And is it true that when he worked as both
19	safety specialist and safety supervisor, that is, the
20	hours he worked varied?
⊉ 1	That is true.
22	MR. COVELL: Let's go off for just a minutes
<u>þ</u> gre.	
24	(Off record)
25	(On record)

COURT REPORTER: We back on record at 1:20.

METRO COURT REPORTING

Q	(By Mr. Covell) Mr. Smith, I've handed you a copy of
2	APC's response of February 17, '06 discovery request,
3	and I'd direct you to the second page there under
4	response. And there's a list of plaintiff's job
5	duties. We talked about the plaintiff's job duties,
6	and I just want to go through these and see if there
7	are consistencies with what we already talked about.
8	I think we already talked about developed, wrote and
9	implemented HSE department procedures. You agree he
10	did that, right?
型 1	I agree.
Q 2	And then supervision and general oversight of the
13	safety specialists to determine work needs and took
14	steps necessary to facilitate department
15	functionality. Do you maintain that's something Mr.
16	Gilbert did as safety supervisor?
全 7	I think that's generally correct.
₽8	Okay. Briefly, I don't want to go on this a long
19	time, what does facilitate department functionality
20	mean, if you know?
⊉ 1	Yeah, that would be to, for example one example,
22	receive say a regulatory change or a policy change
23	from Conoco that would require implementation into our
24	practices, so we would interpret, place into
25	department functionality and institute those changes.
Q	And that would be sort of part of the first one, too,

1	then, changing the book about it?
<u> </u>	It could be. The department functionality could maybe
3	be better described by shift scheduling, making sure
4	we had coverage at all locations.
©	Okay. And the next one, interpret testing results?
8	That's correct.
Q	Okay. Devise and implemented changes to department on
8	an on-going basis and as needed. Do you agreement
9	with that as a duty? And I'll let you know right now
10	the next question I'm going to ask you is what does
11	that mean.
 2	Yeah. I think that's maybe a repeat of some of the
13	prior statements. So, you know, implemented changes
14	could be those procedural changes due to regulatory
15	changes or policy changes. Changes might also include
16	maybe we have night shift activities that are sporadic
17	and meet coverage, you know, changes implemented,
18	devised, who's going to cover the night shift, and
19	when.
20	All right. Is it right that sort of your day, the
21	hope (ph) of your day was 12 hours and there wasn't a
22	night shift, but oftentimes your department had to
23	cover nighttime duties?
2 4	We would typically only do that with additional
25	personnel or changing of work hours. So if a
	specialist needed to cover night, he may work noon to

midnight and split the shifts, or be transferred to 1 the night shift. 2. All right. But it's not like the police station, there's no midnight shift in..... That's correct.safety, right? Okay. All right. Hiring input 0 and veto rights. We already talked about that. 7 think we covered that ground as to what you thought he 8 could or couldn't do in that regard, right? 9 I don't think entirely. I think you asked me if he ÆΩ performed any disciplinary action or had hire and fire 11 authority correct? 12 Right. **Q**3 And in -- as I look to that position, when we were **A**4 making changes to a department, when -- and the 15 organization when through a metamorphosis quite a bit 16 during John's tenure, that that position was -- was 17 consulted with on who was our top performers as we 18 were reducing personnel. You know, who were the 19 keepers and who were the guys who were at the bottom 20 of performance level. 21 **Q**2 Okay. All right. And we talked about -- well, did he ever discipline anybody that you know of? 23 Not in my recollection was there any disciplinary **A**4

METRO COURT REPORTING

action handed out from that position.

Okay. All right.

25

Q

- A I'd like to clarify one thing.
- Q Sure.
- A That in my whole tenure there, I only took one
- disciplinary action in the whole time, so it wasn't
- 5 very frequent.
- Q Okay. Okay. Approval of timesheets and other
- 7 employee/management functions. Did the safety
- 8 supervisor approve timesheets?
- A Yes.
- Q_0 And was there a line on them for him to sign off on
- 11 them or --
- A₂ There was.
- Q3 Okay. All right.
- 14 (Pause)
- Q5 All right. That's all I should have on that one.
- MS. ZOBEL: Do you want your copy back?
- MR. COVELL: Sure. Thanks.
- Qg (By Mr. Covell) Are you aware that the company's
- asserting a good faith defense in this case?
- Ao Yes.
- Q1 Okay. Are you aware of any paperwork that the company
- intends to rely upon in asserting its good faith
- defense?
- A4 No.
- Q5 Okay.

MR. COVELL: Let's go off record.

METRO COURT REPORTING

1	(Off record)
2	(On record)
3	COURT REPORTER: We're back on record at 1:28.
4	MR. COVELL: That's all I have. Thank you.
5	MS. ZOBEL: And I'm just looking at my notes.
6Probal	oly go off record for a moment.
7	COURT REPORTER: All right.
8	(Off record)
9	(On record)
10	COURT REPORTER: We're back on record at 1:28.
11	MS. ZOBEL: I have no questions.
12	MR. COVELL: No questions. I can't.
13	MS. ZOBEL: No questions.
14	MR. COVELL: Yeah.
15	COURT REPORTER: All right. This concludes
the deposition at 1:29.	
17	(Off record)
18	(On record)
19	COURT REPORTER: We're back on record at 1:30.
2 0	(By Mr. Covell) I'm handing you B-2
21	MR. COVELL:which I think we'll go ahead
and make whatever subsequent S it will be here.	
23	COURT REPORTER: S-2.
24	MR. COVELL: S-2.
Q 5	Are you familiar with that document?
A	Yes, I am.

- \underline{Q} All right. And that's a job description for safety
- 2 supervisor, is that right?
- A That's correct.
- Q Do you -- where did it come from?
- This description was in place when I arrived, and it
- 6 was the position being held by Ron Kirk.
- Q Okay.
- A And I reviewed the description prior to making a
- g decision to place an alternate opposite of Ron Kirk.
- Q_0 Okay. And.....
- And I felt that generally it was correct in its
- 12 content.
- Q3 Okay. And was that in a file folder on the Slope?
- There was a list of job descriptions that were there
- in a binder, along with ergonomic assessments.
- Q6 Okay. So do you know when that was generated or who
- generated, its history or anything?
- As I do not know the history or the date of generation.
- Qo Okay. And you just came across it when you started up
- there more or less?
- Again Yeah, I went looking for the -- the company's -- I was
- new to the company, I went looking for their job
- description for that position, and that the -- this is
- 24 what I was -- located, and at that time, you know, it
- was -- is in the right context. Now, this document
 - has been revised after that time frame. And in fact

1	it shows the one of the updates, like the Phillips
2	Alaska I think was a terminology change, because prior
3	it was listed in there as Arco Alaska. And so we made
4	a couple terminologies. But the job description, the
5	duties entailed have are substantially, exact same
6	as when I reviewed that in 2002.
Q	Okay. All right. Thank you.
8	MR. COVELL: I'd like
9	MS. ZOBEL: Don't give it to him.
10	MR. COVELL: Yeah, make that an exhibit.
That's all I have.	
12	COURT REPORTER: Are we off record? Is this
the conclusion?	
14	MS. ZOBEL: Yes.
15	COURT REPORTER: Okay.
16	MR. COVELL: Yeah.
17	COURT REPORTER: 1:32 We're off record
18	(Off record)
19	(Deposition Exhibit S-2 marked) * * * END OF PROCEEDINGS * * *
20	* * * END OF PROCEEDINGS * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

SIGNATURE STATE OF ALASKA) ss. THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT) I, DOUGLAS L. SMITH, have read the foregoing 4 deposition and have made corrections thereto. Any and all ghanges, explanations, deletions and/or additions to my testimony may be found on the correction sheet(s) enclosed with this transcript. 9 DOUGLAS L. SMITH 10 STATE OF ALASKA)ss. THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT) 12 THIS IS TO CERTIFY that on this _____ day of 13 _____ 2006, before me appeared **DOUGLAS L. SMITH**, to me known and known to be the person named in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledge voluntarily \$\$\frac{1}{2}gning and sealing the same. 18 Notary Public in and for 19 State of Alaska, at Anchorage My Commission Expires:_____ 20 21 22 23 24 25

METRO COURT REPORTING

14

15

CERTIFICATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
STATE OF ALASKA)

I, Jerri Young, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Reporter with Metro Court Reporting, do hereby certify:

THAT the annexed and foregoing Deposition of <u>DOUGLAS</u>
<u>L. SMITH</u> was taken before Cheri Tabor on the 1st day of June, 2006, commencing at the hour of 11:30 a.m., at the offices of DeLisio moran Geraghty & Zobel, 943 West Sixth Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska, pursuant to Notice to take said Deposition of said Witness on behalf of the Plaintiff;

THAT the above-named Witness before examination, was duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;

THAT this Deposition, as heretofore annexed, is a true **METRO COURT REPORTING**

and correct transcription of the testimony of said Witness taken by Cheri Tabor and hereafter transcribed by Meredith Downing;

THAT the original of the Deposition transcript will be Bodged in a sealed envelope with the attorney requesting transcription of same, as required by Civil Rule 30(f)(1)

5 <u>MR. KENNETH COVELL</u>, Attorney at Law, 712 Eighth Avenue, Fairbanks, Alaska;

Amended, that attorney being:

THAT I am not a relative, employee or attorney of any of the parties, nor am I financially interested in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 3rd day of July 2006.

10

11

Jerri Young

12

Notary Public in and for Alaska
My Commission Expires: 11-03-07

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

2324

22

2.

8

25

METRO COURT REPORTING